



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,945	11/30/2006	Steve Heald	0546-1082	4057
466	7590	01/19/2011	EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON			MARX, IRENE	
209 Madison Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 500			1651	
Alexandria, VA 22314			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/550,945	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HEALD ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Irene Marx	<b>Art Unit</b> 1651

**All Participants:**(1) Irene Marx.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(3) Ms. Chen.(2) Mr. Goozner.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 January 2011**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Irene Marx/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that the declaration is persuasive regarding the strain *Amycolatopsis* IMI 390106 for the bioconversion of ferulic acid, but is silent regarding the capabilities even of this strain regarding unknown substrates of the capabilities of mutants thereof which are resistant to spectinomycin. Claims directed to the process using the strain for the bioconversion of ferulic acid would be allowable upon deletion of the mutants. Applicant prefers to receive and office action.